

Operator representations of cross product algebras of Podles' quantum spheres

Konrad Schmüdgen and Elmar Wagner

Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik

Universität Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

E-mail: schmuedg@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de / wagner@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de

Abstract

Operator representations of the cross product $\ast$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ of the Hopf $\ast$ -algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ and its module $\ast$ -algebras $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ of Podles' spheres are studied. Two classes of representations are described by explicit formulas for the actions of the generators.

Keywords: Quantum groups, unbounded representations

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 17 B 37, 81 R 50, 46 L 87

0 Introduction

In this paper we investigate Hilbert space representations of the left cross product $\ast$ -algebras $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ of the quantized enveloping algebras $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ and the coordinate algebras $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$, $c \in [0, +\infty]$, of the Podles' spheres. As discussed in the introduction of our previous paper [SW], there are two principal methods. In the first approach, we begin with a representation of the $\ast$ -algebras $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ given in a canonical form and extend it to a representation of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. The main technical tool for this is to "decouple" the cross relations of the cross product algebra by finding an auxiliary $\ast$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}_c$ of a slightly larger cross product $\ast$ -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ which commutes with the $\ast$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$. Such decouplings have been found and studied in [F]. Since elements of $\mathcal{Y}_c$ act as unbounded operators, we impose some regularity conditions during the derivation of the corresponding representations. In the second approach, we assume that there exists

some $l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ such that the restriction to $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is a direct sum of representations T_{l+n} , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The Heisenberg representation (see [SW], 5.2) of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ satisfies this condition with $l = 0$. We show that for any $l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ there exist precisely two inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ having this property. In the case $l = 1/2$ the existence of these representations was known for two special quantum spheres. For the Podles' sphere $S_{q,\infty}^2$ related to the quantum vector space $\mathbb{R}_q^3$ they appeared in [SW], Section 6.5. For the standard quantum sphere $S_{q,0}^2$ the representations with $l = 1/2$ are contained in [DS].

This paper is organized as follows. The definition of the cross product algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is given in Section 1. The "decoupling" procedure is developed in Section 2. Representations of the auxiliary $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_c$ are studied in Section 3. The first approach as explained above is carried out in Section 4. The second approach is contained in Section 5.

All facts and notions on quantum groups used in this paper can be found, for instance, in [KS]. The algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the Podles' spheres S_{qc}^2 have been discovered in [KR] and [P], respectively.

Throughout this paper, q stands for a real number of the open interval $(0, 1)$. We abbreviate $\lambda := (q - q^{-1})$, $\lambda_n := (1 - q^{2n})^{1/2}$ and $[n] := (q^n - q^{-n})/(q - q^{-1})$.

1 Definition of the cross product algebra

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a Hopf $*$ -algebra and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a left $\mathcal{U}$ -module $*$ -algebra, that is, $\mathcal{X}$ is a unital $*$ -algebra with left $\mathcal{U}$ -action $\triangleright$ satisfying $f \triangleright xy = (f_{(1)} \triangleright x)(f_{(2)} \triangleright y)$, $f \triangleright 1 = \varepsilon(f)1$ and $(f \triangleright x)^* = S(f)^* \triangleright x^*$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{U}$. Here $\Delta(f) = f_{(1)} \otimes f_{(2)}$ is the Sweedler notation for the comultiplication $\Delta(f)$ of $f \in \mathcal{U}$. Then the *left cross product $*$ -algebra* $\mathcal{X} \rtimes \mathcal{U}$ is the $*$ -algebra generated by the two $*$ -subalgebras $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ with respect to the cross commutation relation

$$fx = (f_{(1)} \triangleright x)f_{(2)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad f \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (1)$$

The Hopf $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is generated by elements E, F, K, K^{-1} with relations

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1, \quad KE = qEK, \quad FK = qKF, \quad EF - FE = \lambda^{-1}(K^2 - K^{-2}), \quad (2)$$

involution $E^* = F$, $K = K$ and comultiplication

$$\Delta(E) = E \otimes K + K^{-1} \otimes E, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes K + K^{-1} \otimes K, \quad \Delta(K) = K \otimes K.$$

There is a dual pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of Hopf $*$ -algebras $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ given on generators by

$$\langle K^{\pm 1}, d \rangle = \langle K^{\mp 1}, a \rangle = q^{\pm 1/2}, \quad \langle E, c \rangle = \langle F, b \rangle = 1$$

and zero otherwise, where a, b, c, d are the usual generators of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ (see e.g. [KS], Chapter 4).

We shall use the definition of the coordinate algebras $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$, $c \in [0, +\infty]$, of Podles' spheres as given in [P]. For $c \in [0, +\infty)$, $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ is the $*$ -algebra with generators $A=A^*, B, B^*$ and defining relations

$$AB=q^{-2}BA, AB^*=q^2B^*A, B^*B=A-A^2+c, BB^*=q^2A-q^4A^2+c. \quad (3)$$

For $c = +\infty$, the defining relations of $\mathcal{O}(S_{q,+\infty}^2)$ are

$$AB=q^{-2}BA, AB^*=q^2B^*A, B^*B=-A^2+1, BB^*=-q^4A^2+1. \quad (4)$$

Let $c < \infty$. As shown in [P], $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ is a right $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ -comodule $*$ -algebra such that

$$x_{-1} := q^{-1}(1+q^2)^{1/2}B, \quad x_1 := -(1+q^2)^{1/2}B^*, \quad x_0 := 1 - (1+q^2)A. \quad (5)$$

transform by the spin 1 matrix corepresentation (t_{ij}^1) of $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$. Hence $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ is a left $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ -module $*$ -algebra with left action given by $f \triangleright x_j = \sum_i x_i \langle f, t_{ij}^1 \rangle$ for $f \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$, $j = -1, 0, 1$. Inserting the form of the matrix (t_{ij}^1) (see [P], p. 194, or [KS], p. 124) and the Hopf algebra pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ into (1) we derive the following cross relations for the cross product algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} KA &= AK, \quad EA = AE + q^{-1/2}B^*K, \quad FA = AF - q^{-3/2}BK, \\ KB &= q^{-1}BK, \quad EB = qBE - q^{1/2}(1+q^2)AK + q^{1/2}K, \quad FB = qBF, \\ KB^* &= qB^*K, \quad EB^* = q^{-1}B^*E, \quad FB^* = q^{-1}B^*F + q^{-1/2}(1+q^2)AK - q^{-1/2}K. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is the algebra with generators $A, B, B^*, E, F, K, K^{-1}$, with defining relations (2), (3) (resp. (4)) and the preceding set of cross relations.

For $c = \infty$, we set

$$x_{-1} := q^{-1}(1+q^2)^{1/2}B, \quad x_1 := -(1+q^2)^{1/2}B^*, \quad x_0 := -(1+q^2)A. \quad (6)$$

Then the cross relations for the cross product algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{q\infty}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} KA &= AK, \quad EA = AE + q^{-1/2}B^*K, \quad FA = AF - q^{-3/2}BK, \\ KB &= q^{-1}BK, \quad EB = qBE - q^{1/2}(1+q^2)AK, \quad FB = qBF, \\ KB^* &= qB^*K, \quad EB^* = q^{-1}B^*E, \quad FB^* = q^{-1}B^*F + q^{-1/2}(1+q^2)AK. \end{aligned}$$

2 "Decoupling" of the cross product algebra

Let us first suppose that $c \in [0, +\infty)$. From the relations $AB = q^{-2}BA$ and $AB^* = q^2B^*A$ it is clear that $\mathcal{S} = \{A^n; n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a left and right Ore set of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$. Moreover the algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ has no zero divisors. Hence the localization algebra, denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2)$, of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ at $\mathcal{S}$ exists. The $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ is then a $*$ -subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2)$ and all elements A^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are invertible in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2)$. From Theorem 3.4.1 in [LR] we conclude that $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2)$ is a left (resp. right) $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ -module $*$ -algebra which contains $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ as a left (resp. right) $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ -module $*$ -subalgebra. The left action of the generators E, F, K on A^{-1} is given by

$$E \triangleright A^{-1} = -q^{-5/2}B^*A^{-2}, \quad F \triangleright A^{-1} = q^{1/2}BA^{-2}, \quad K \triangleright A^{-1} = A^{-1}.$$

Hence the left cross product algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is a well-defined $*$ -algebra.

Let $\mathcal{Y}_c$ denote the $*$ -subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ generated by

$$X := q^{3/2}\lambda FK^{-1}A + qB, \quad X^* = q^{3/2}\lambda AK^{-1}E + qB^*, \quad (7)$$

$$Y := qK^{-2}A, \quad Y^{-1} = q^{-1}A^{-1}K^2. \quad (8)$$

Note that $Y = Y^*$. It is straightforward to check that the elements X, X^*, Y, Y^{-1} commute with the generators A, B, B^* of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$. Hence the algebras $\mathcal{Y}_c$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2)$ commute inside the cross product algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. Moreover, the generators of $\mathcal{Y}_c$ satisfy the commutation relations

$$YX = q^2XY, \quad YX^* = q^{-2}X^*Y, \quad X^*X - q^2XX^* = (1 - q^2)(Y^2 + c). \quad (9)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{U}'_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ the Hopf $*$ -subalgebra generated by $e := EK$, $f := K^{-1}F$ and $k := K^2$. As an algebra, $\mathcal{U}'_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ has generators e, f, k, k^{-1} with defining relations

$$kk^{-1} = k^{-1}k = 1, \quad ke = q^2ek, \quad kf = q^{-2}fk, \quad ef - fe = \lambda^{-1}(k - k^{-1}). \quad (10)$$

The comultiplication on the generators e, f, k is given by

$$\Delta(e) = e \otimes k + 1 \otimes e, \quad \Delta(f) = f \otimes 1 + k^{-1} \otimes f, \quad \Delta(k) = k \otimes k.$$

From (7) and (8) we obtain

$$f = q^{-1/2}\lambda^{-1}(X - qB)A^{-1}, \quad e = q^{1/2}\lambda^{-1}(X^* - q^{-1}B^*)Y^{-1}, \quad k = qY^{-1}A. \quad (11)$$

Hence the two commuting algebras $\mathcal{Y}_c$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2)$ generate the $*$ -subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}'_q(\text{su}_2)$ of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}_2)$. So one can say that $\mathcal{Y}_c$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2)$ completely "decouple" the cross product algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}'_q(\text{su}_2)$.

There is an alternative way to define the $*$ -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}'_q(\text{su}_2)$ by taking the two sets $A = A^*$, B , B^* and X , X^* , $Y = Y^*$, Y^{-1} of pairwise commuting generators with defining relations (3), (9) and the obvious relations

$$AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = 1, \quad YY^{-1} = Y^{-1}Y = 1. \quad (12)$$

Indeed, if we define e, f, k by (11), then the relations (10) of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}_2)$ and the cross relations of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}'_q(\text{su}_2)$ can be derived from this set of defining relations.

The larger cross product algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}_2)$ can be redefined in a similar manner if we replace the generator Y by K . That is, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}_2)$ is the $*$ -algebra with the two sets $A = A^*$, B , B^* and X , X^* , K , K^{-1} of pairwise commuting generators with defining relations (3), (12), and

$$KA = AK, \quad BK = qKB, \quad KB^* = qB^*K, \quad X^*X - q^2XX^* = (1 - q^2)(q^2K^{-4}A^2 + c). \quad (13)$$

The generators F and E are then given by

$$F = q^{-3/2}\lambda^{-1}(X - qB)KA^{-1}, \quad E = q^{-3/2}\lambda^{-1}A^{-1}K(X^* - qB^*). \quad (14)$$

The preceding considerations and facts carry over almost verbatim to the case $c = +\infty$. The only difference is that in the case $c = +\infty$ one has to set $c = 1$ in the third equations of (9) and (13).

3 Operator representations of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_c$

For the study of representations of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_c$ we need two auxiliary lemmas. The first one restates the *Would decomposition* of an isometry (see [SF], Theorem 1.1), while the second is Lemma 4.2 (ii) in [SW].

Lemma 3.1 *Each isometry v on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ is up to unitary equivalence of the following form: There exist Hilbert subspaces $\mathcal{K}^u$ and $\mathcal{K}_0^s$ of $\mathcal{K}$ and a unitary operator v_u on $\mathcal{K}^u$ such that $v = v_u \oplus v_s$ on $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^u \oplus \mathcal{K}^s$ and v_s acts on $\mathcal{K}^s = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_n^s$ by $v_s \zeta_n = \zeta_{n+1}$, where each $\mathcal{K}_n^s$ is $\mathcal{K}_0^s$ and $\zeta_n \in \mathcal{K}_n^s$. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}^u = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} v^n \mathcal{K}$.*

Lemma 3.2 *Let v be the operator v_s on $\mathcal{K}^s = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_n^s$, $\mathcal{K}_n^s = \mathcal{K}_0^s$, from Lemma 3.1 and let Y be a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{K}^s$ such that $q^2 v Y \subseteq Y v$. Then there is a self-adjoint operator Y_0 on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_0^s$ such that $Y \zeta_n = q^{2n} Y_0 \zeta_n$ and $v \zeta_n = \zeta_{n+1}$ for $\zeta_n \in \mathcal{K}_n^s$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

We treat the case $c \in [0, +\infty)$. Suppose that we have a representation of relations (9) by closed operators X , X^* and a self-adjoint operator Y with trivial kernel acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$.

Let $X = v|X|$ be the polar decomposition of the operator X . Since $q \in (0, 1)$, $c \geq 0$ and $\ker Y = \{0\}$, we have $\ker X = \{0\}$ by the third equation of (9). Hence v is an isometry on $\mathcal{K}$, that is, $v^* v = I$.

By (9), we have $Y X^* X = X^* X Y$. We assume that the self-adjoint operators Y and $X^* X$ strongly commute. Then Y and $|X| = (X^* X)^{1/2}$ also strongly commute. Again by (9), $Y v |X| = Y X = q^2 X Y = q^2 v |X| Y = q^2 v Y |X|$. Since $\ker |X| = \ker X = \{0\}$, we conclude that

$$q^2 v Y = Y v. \quad (15)$$

Since $X^* = |X| v^*$, the third equation of (9) rewrites as

$$|X|^2 = q^2 v |X|^2 v^* + (1 - q^2)(Y^2 + c). \quad (16)$$

Multiplying by v and using the relations $v^* v = I$ and (15) we get

$$|X|^2 v = v(q^2 |X|^2 + (1 - q^2)(q^4 Y^2 + c)).$$

Proceeding by induction and using once more (15) we derive

$$|X|^2 v^n = v^n(q^{2n} |X|^2 + (1 - q^{2n})(q^{2n+2} Y^2 + c)). \quad (17)$$

Now we use the Wold decomposition $v = v_u \oplus v_s$ on $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^u \oplus \mathcal{K}^s$ of the isometry v by Lemma 3.1. Since $\mathcal{K}^u = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} v^n \mathcal{K}$ and $Y v = q^2 v Y$, the Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{K}^u$ reduces the self-adjoint operator Y . From (17) it follows that $|X|^2$ leaves a dense subspace of the space $\mathcal{K}^u$ invariant. We assume that $\mathcal{K}^u$ reduces $|X|^2$. Hence we can consider all operators occurring in relation (17) on the subspace $\mathcal{K}^u$. For the unitary part v_u of v we have $v_u v_u^* = I$ on $\mathcal{K}^u$. Multiplying (17) by $(v_u^*)^n$ and using again relation (15) we derive on $\mathcal{K}^u$

$$0 \leq q^{2n} v_u^n |X|^2 (v_u^*)^n = |X|^2 - (1 - q^{2n})c + q^2 Y^2 - q^{-2n+2} Y^2$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and remembering that $\ker Y = \{0\}$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ we conclude that the latter is only possible when $\mathcal{K}^u = \{0\}$. That is, the isometry v is (unitarily equivalent to) the unilateral shift operator v_s .

Since $v = v_s$, Lemma 3.2 applies to the relation $q^2 v Y = Y v$. Hence there exists a self-adjoint operator Y_0 on $\mathcal{K}_0^s$ such that $Y \zeta_n = q^{2n} Y_0 \zeta_n$ on $\mathcal{K}^s = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_n^s$, $\mathcal{K}_n^s = \mathcal{K}_0^s$. Since $v^* \zeta_0 = 0$, (16) yields $|X|^2 \zeta_0 = (1 - q^2)(Y_0^2 + c) \zeta_0$. Using this equation and (16) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |X|^2 \zeta_n &= |X|^2 v^n \zeta_0 = v^n (q^{2n} |X|^2 + (1 - q^{2n})(q^{2n+2} Y^2 + c)) \zeta_0 \\ &= v^n (q^{2n} (1 - q^2)(Y_0^2 + c) \zeta_0 + (1 - q^{2n})(q^{2n+2} Y_0^2 + c) \zeta_0) \\ &= \lambda_{n+1}^2 (q^{2n} Y_0^2 + c) \zeta_n \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for $\zeta_n \in \mathcal{K}_n^s$. We assumed above that the pointwise commuting self-adjoint operator $|X|^2$ and Y strongly commute. Hence their reduced parts on each subspace $\mathcal{K}_n^s$ strongly commute. Therefore, (18) implies that $|X| \zeta_n = \lambda_{n+1} (q^{2n} Y_0^2 + c)^{1/2} \zeta_n$. Recall that $X = v|X|$ and $X^* = |X|v^*$. Renaming $\mathcal{K}_n^s$ by $\mathcal{K}_n$ and summarizing the preceding, we obtain the following form of the operators X , X^* and Y :

$$\begin{aligned} X \zeta_n &= \lambda_{n+1} (q^{2n} Y_0^2 + c)^{1/2} \zeta_{n+1}, \quad X^* \zeta_n = \lambda_n (q^{2n-2} Y_0^2 + c)^{1/2} \zeta_{n-1}, \\ Y \zeta_n &= q^{2n} Y_0 \zeta_n \quad \text{on } \mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_n, \quad \mathcal{K}_n = \mathcal{K}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where Y_0 is self-adjoint operator with trivial kernel on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_0$. Conversely, it is easy to check that these operators X, X^*, Y satisfy the relations (9), so they define indeed a Hilbert space representation of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_c$.

4 Representations of the cross product algebras: First approach

First let us review the representations of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ from [P]. For $c \in [0, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we abbreviate

$$\lambda_{\pm} := 1/2 \pm (c + 1/4)^{1/2}, \quad c_{\pm}(n) := (c + \lambda_{\pm} q^{2n} - (\lambda_{\pm} q^{2n})^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}^0, \mathcal{H}_0^+$ and $\mathcal{H}_0^-$ be Hilbert spaces and let u be a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}^0$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n^{\pm}$, where $\mathcal{H}_n^{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}$, and let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-$ for $c \in (0, +\infty]$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^+$ for $c = 0$. The generators of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ act on the Hilbert space

$\mathcal{H}$ by the following formulas:

$$c \in [0, +\infty) : \quad A = 0, \quad B = c^{1/2}u, \quad B^* = c^{1/2}u^* \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}^0, \\ A\eta_n = \lambda_{\pm}q^{2n}\eta_n, \quad B\eta_n = c_{\pm}(n)\eta_{n-1}, \quad B^*\eta_n = c_{\pm}(n+1)\eta_{n+1} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}^{\pm}.$$

$$c = +\infty : \quad A = 0, \quad B = u, \quad B^* = u^* \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}_0, \\ A\eta_n = \pm q^{2n}\eta_n, \quad B\eta_n = (1-q^{4n})^{1/2}\eta_{n-1}, \quad B^*\eta_n = (1-q^{4(n+1)})^{1/2}\eta_{n+1} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}^{\pm}.$$

Remind that for $c = 0$ there is no Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^-$. From Proposition 4 in [P] it follows that up to unitary equivalence any $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ is of the above form. Note that all operators are bounded and $\mathcal{H}^0 = \ker A$, $A > 0$ on $\mathcal{H}^+$ and $A < 0$ on $\mathcal{H}^-$.

Next we show that for any $*$ -representation of the algebras $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}'_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ the space $\mathcal{H}^0$ is $\{0\}$, so the operator A is invertible. We carry out the reasoning for $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. Assuming that the commuting self-adjoint operators A and K strongly commute it follows that K leaves $\mathcal{H}^0 = \ker A$ invariant. By (3) (resp. (4)), $B\mathcal{H}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{H}^0$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^0$. Using the relation $FA = AF - q^{-3/2}BK$ we see that

$$q^{-3}\|BK\xi\|^2 = \|AF\xi\|^2 = \langle q^{-3/2}BK\xi, AF\xi \rangle = \langle q^{-3/2}ABK\xi, F\xi \rangle = 0.$$

That is, $BK\xi = 0$ and $AF\xi = 0$, so that $F\xi \in \mathcal{H}^0$. For $c \in (0, +\infty]$, BK is invertible on $\mathcal{H}^0$ and hence $\xi = 0$. For $c = 0$ we have $B^*\xi = B^*F\xi = 0$. From the cross relation $FB^* = q^{-1}B^*F + q^{-1/2}(1+q^2)AK - q^{-1/2}K$ we get $K\xi = 0$ and so $\xi = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}^0 = \{0\}$.

Consider now a $*$ -representation of the $*$ -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}'_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. Its restriction to $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ is a $*$ -representation of the form described above with $\mathcal{H}^0 = \{0\}$. All operators of the $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}_c$ commute with A and B . Let us assume that the spectral projections of the self-adjoint operator A commute also with all operators of $\mathcal{Y}_c$. (Note that for a $*$ -representation by bounded operators on a Hilbert space the latter fact follows. For unbounded $*$ -representation it does not and we restrict ourselves to the class of well-behaved representations which satisfy this assumption.) Since $\mathcal{H}_n^{\pm}$ is the eigenspace of A at eigenvalue $\lambda_{\pm}q^{2n}$ and these eigenvalues are pairwise distinct, the operators of $\mathcal{Y}_c$ leave $\mathcal{H}_n^{\pm}$ invariant. That is, we have a $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{Y}_c$ on $\mathcal{H}_n^{\pm}$. But $\mathcal{Y}_c$ commutes also with B . Since B is a weighted shift operators with weights $c_{\pm}(n) \neq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that the representations of $\mathcal{Y}_c$ on $\mathcal{H}_n^{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}$ are the same for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Using the structure of the representation of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_c$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}$

derived in Section 3 and inserting the formulas for X, X^*, Y and A, B, B^* into (11), one obtains the action of the generators e, f, k, k^{-1} of $\mathcal{U}'_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. We do not list these formulas, but we will do so below for the generators of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$.

Let us turn to a $*$ -representation of the larger $*$ -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. Then, in addition to the considerations of the preceding paragraph, we have to deal with the generator K . We assumed above that K and A are strongly commuting self-adjoint operators. Therefore, K commutes with the spectral projections of A . Hence each space $\mathcal{H}_n^\pm$ is reducing for K . The relation $BK = qKB$ implies that there is an invertible self-adjoint operator K_0 on $\mathcal{H}_0^\pm$ such that $K\eta_n = q^n K_0 \eta_n$ for $\eta_n \in \mathcal{H}_n^\pm$. Recall that we have $XK = qKX$ and $YK = KY$ in the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. Inserting for X and Y the corresponding operators on $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{H}_0^\pm = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{K}_n$ from Section 3 we conclude that there exists an invertible self-adjoint operator H on $\mathcal{K}_0$ such that $K_0 \zeta_n = q^{-n} H \zeta_n$ for $\zeta_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$. Further, since $Y = qK^{-2}A$, we have $Y\zeta_0 = Y_0 \zeta_0 = qH^{-2} \lambda_\pm \zeta_0$ for $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Inserting the preceding facts and the results from Section 3 into (14) and renaming $\mathcal{K}_0$ by $\mathcal{G}$ we obtain the following $*$ -representations of the cross product $*$ -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ for $c \in (0, +\infty)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (I)_{\pm, H} : \quad & A\eta_{nj} = \lambda_\pm q^{2n} \eta_{nj}, \quad B\eta_{nj} = c_\pm(n) \eta_{n-1,j}, \quad B^* \eta_{nj} = c_\pm(n+1) \eta_{n+1,j}, \\ & E\eta_{nj} = q^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1} [q^{-n} \lambda_j (\lambda_\pm^{-2} q^{-2j} c + H^{-4})^{1/2} H \eta_{n,j-1} \\ & \quad - q^{-j} (\lambda_\pm^{-2} q^{-2n-2} c + \lambda_\pm^{-1} - q^{2n+2})^{1/2} H \eta_{n+1,j}], \\ & F\eta_{nj} = q^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1} [q^{-n} \lambda_{j+1} (\lambda_\pm^{-2} q^{-2j-2} c + H^{-4})^{1/2} H \eta_{n,j+1} \\ & \quad - q^{-j} (\lambda_\pm^{-2} q^{-2n} c + \lambda_\pm^{-1} - q^{2n})^{1/2} H \eta_{n-1,j}], \\ & K\eta_{nj} = q^{n-j} H \eta_{nj}, \end{aligned}$$

where H is an invertible self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$. The representation space is the direct sum $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n,j=0}^\infty \mathcal{H}_{nj}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{nj} = \mathcal{G}$. In the case $c = 0$ there is only the representation $(I)_{+, H}$. The case $c = +\infty$ has already been treated in [SW].

5 Representations of the cross product algebras: Second approach

For $l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ let T_l denote the type 1 spin l representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. Recall that T_l is an irreducible $*$ -representation of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ acting on a $(2l+1)$ -dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{v_j^l; j = -l, -l+1, \dots, l\}$ by

the formulas (see, for instance, [KS], p. 61)

$$Kv_j^l = q^j v_j^l, \quad Ev_j^l = [l-j]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2} v_{j+1}^l, \quad Fv_j^l = [l-j+1]^{1/2}[l+j]^{1/2} v_{j-1}^l. \quad (19)$$

Let $l_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ be fixed. The aim of this section is classify all $*$ -representations of the cross product $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ having the following property:

The restriction to $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is the direct sum representation $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{l_0+n}$. (*)

In this section we shall use the generators x_j defined by (5) (resp. (6)) rather than A, B, B^* .

Suppose we have such a representation. First note that then there exist complex numbers $\alpha^\epsilon(l, j)$ and $\beta^\epsilon(l, j)$, $\epsilon = +, 0, -, l \geq l_0, j = -l, -l+1, \dots, l, l-l_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that

$$x_1 v_j^l = \alpha^+(l, j) v_{j+1}^{l+1} + \alpha^0(l, j) v_{j+1}^l + \alpha^-(l, j) v_{j+1}^{l-1} \quad (20)$$

$$x_0 v_j^l = \beta^+(l, j) v_j^{l+1} + \beta^0(l, j) v_j^l + \overline{\beta^+(l-1, j)} v_j^{l-1} \quad (21)$$

$$x_{-1} v_j^l = -q^{-1} (\overline{\alpha^-(l+1, j-1)} v_{j-1}^{l+1} + \overline{\alpha^0(l, j-1)} v_{j-1}^l + \overline{\alpha^+(l-1, j-1)} v_{j-1}^{l-1}), \quad (22)$$

where the vectors v_{l+1}^l, v_{-l-1}^l and the corresponding coefficients are set zero. In order to prove this fact we argue as in [DS]; a similar argument appeared also in [S], p. 258. Since $Kx_1 = qx_1K$, $x_1 v_j^l$ is a weight vector with weight $j+1$. Moreover, since $E^{l-j+1} x_1 v_j^l = q^{-l+j-1} x_1 E^{l-j+1} v_j^l = 0$, $x_1 v_j^l$ is in the linear span of vectors v_{j+1}^r , where $r \leq l+1$. Similarly, replacing x_1 by x_{-1} and E by F we conclude that $x_{-1} v_j^l$ belongs to the span of vectors v_{j-1}^r , $r \leq l+1$. Therefore, since $x_{-1} = -q^{-1} x_1^*$, $x_{\pm 1} v_j^l \in \text{Lin}\{v_{j\pm 1}^{l-1}, v_{j\pm 1}^l, v_{j\pm 1}^{l+1}\}$, so $x_{\pm 1} v_j^l$ is of the form (20) (resp. (22)). From the last two relations of (3) (resp. (4)) it follows that $x_0 v_j^l$ is of the form (21).

Inserting (19) and (20)–(22) into the equation $Ex_1 v_j^l = q^{-1} x_1 Ev_j^l$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} [l-j]^{1/2}[l+j+3]^{1/2} \alpha^+(l, j) &= q^{-1} [l-j]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2} \alpha^+(l, j+1), \\ [l-j-1]^{1/2}[l+j+2]^{1/2} \alpha^0(l, j) &= q^{-1} [l-j]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2} \alpha^0(l, j+1), \\ [l-j-2]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2} \alpha^-(l, j) &= q^{-1} [l-j]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2} \alpha^-(l, j+1). \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of these recurrence relations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^+(l, j) &= q^{-l+j} [l+j+1]^{1/2} [l+j+2]^{1/2} [2l+1]^{-1/2} [2l+2]^{-1/2} \alpha^+(l, l), \\ \alpha^0(l, j) &= q^{-l+j+1} [l-j]^{1/2} [l+j+1]^{1/2} [2l]^{-1/2} \alpha^0(l, l-1), \\ \alpha^-(l, j) &= q^{-l+j+2} [l-j-1]^{1/2} [l-j]^{1/2} [2]^{-1/2} \alpha^-(l, l-2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from the equation $Ex_0v_j^l = (x_0E + [2]^{1/2}x_1K)v_j^l$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [l-j+1]^{1/2}[l+j+2]^{1/2}\beta^+(l, j) &= [l-j]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2}\beta^+(l, j+1) + [2]^{1/2}q^j\alpha^+(l, j), \\ [l-j]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2}\beta^0(l, j) &= [l-j]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2}\beta^0(l, j+1) + [2]^{1/2}q^j\alpha^0(l, j). \end{aligned}$$

The equation $Ex_0v_l^l = (x_0E + [2]^{1/2}x_1K)v_l^l$ yields in addition

$$\beta^+(l, l) = q^l[2]^{1/2}[2l+2]^{-1/2}\alpha^+(l, l). \quad (23)$$

Further, the equation $0 = \langle v_l^l, (x_1F + q[2]^{1/2}x_0K - qFx_1)v_l^l \rangle$ implies that

$$\alpha^0(l, l-1) = -[2]^{1/2}[2l]^{-1/2}q^{l+1}\beta^0(l, l). \quad (24)$$

Using (23) and (24) it follows that the above recurrence relations for $\beta^+(l, j)$ and $\beta^0(l, j)$ have the following solutions:

$$\beta^+(l, j) = q^j[l-j+1]^{1/2}[l+j+1]^{1/2}[2]^{1/2}[2l+1]^{-1/2}[2l+2]^{-1/2}\alpha^+(l, l), \quad (25)$$

$$\beta^0(l, j) = (1 - q^{l+j+1}[l-j][2][2l]^{-1})\beta^0(l, l). \quad (26)$$

From $0 = \langle v_{l-1}^l, (Ex_{-1} - qx_{-1}E - [2]^{1/2}x_0K)v_{l-1}^{l-1} \rangle$ we derive that $\beta^+(l-1, l-1) = -q^{-l}[2l-1]^{1/2}\alpha^-(l, l-2)$. Combining this equation with (23) gives

$$\alpha^-(l, l-2) = -q^{2l-1}[2]^{1/2}[2l-1]^{-1/2}[2l]^{-1/2}\overline{\alpha^+(l-1, l-1)}. \quad (27)$$

From (23)–(27) we conclude that the representation is completely described if the numbers $\alpha^+(l, l)$ and $\beta^0(l, l)$ for $l = l_0, l_0 + 1, \dots$ are known. Our next aim is to determine these numbers.

Since $\ker B = \{0\}$ (cf. Section 4), we have $\alpha^+(l, l) \neq 0$. By applying a unitary transformation, we can assume without loss of generality that $\alpha^+(l, l)$ is a positive real number. Furthermore, $\beta^0(l, l)$ is real since x_0 is self-adjoint. As a consequence, all coefficients occurring in (20)–(22) are real.

We now compute $\beta^0(l_0, l_0)$ for $l_0 > 0$. Assume first that $c < \infty$. We abbreviate $\rho := 1 + (q + q^{-1})^2c$. The two relations $BB^* = q^2A - q^4A^2 + c$ and $B^*B = A - A^* + c$ lead to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + q^2)(\alpha^0(l_0, l_0)^2 + \alpha^-(l_0+1, l_0-1)^2) &= \\ &= q^2\rho + (1 - q^2)\beta^0(l_0, l_0) - (\beta^0(l_0, l_0)^2 + \beta^+(l_0, l_0)^2), \\ (1 + q^2)\alpha^+(l_0, l_0)^2 &= q^2\rho - (1 - q^2)q^2\beta^0(l_0, l_0) - q^4(\beta^0(l_0, l_0)^2 + \beta^+(l_0, l_0)^2). \end{aligned}$$

By inserting (23), (24) and (27) into these two relations, we get after some computations

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 + q^2)q[2l_0+3][2l_0+2]^{-1}\alpha^+(l_0, l_0)^2 &= \\
q^2\rho - (1 - q^2)q^2\beta^0(l_0, l_0) - q^4\beta^0(l_0, l_0)^2, &\quad (28) \\
(1 + q^2)q^{2l_0+1}[2l_0+3][2l_0+2]^{-1}[2l_0+1]^{-1}\alpha^+(l_0, l_0)^2 &= \\
q^2\rho + (1 - q^2)\beta^0(l_0, l_0) - q^2[2]^{-1}[2l_0]^{-1}([2l_0+3] + q^{2l_0})\beta^0(l_0, l_0)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Eliminating $\alpha^+(l_0, l_0)$ from these equations we obtain the following quadratic equation for the coefficient $\beta^0(l_0, l_0)$:

$$q^2([2l_0+2][2l_0]^{-1}\beta^0(l_0, l_0))^2 - (1 - q^2)[2l_0+2][2l_0]^{-1}\beta^0(l_0, l_0) - \rho = 0.$$

This equation has the solutions $\beta^0(l_0, l_0)_\pm$ given by

$$\beta^0(l_0, l_0)_\pm = [2l_0][2l_0+2]^{-1}(q^{-2}\lambda_\pm - \lambda_\mp). \quad (29)$$

In the case $c = \infty$ one gets by analogous calculations the quadratic equation $q^2([2l_0+2][2l_0]^{-1}\beta^0(l_0, l_0))^2 - (q + q^{-1})^2 = 0$ with the solutions

$$\beta^0(l_0, l_0)_\pm = \pm[2l_0][2l_0+2]^{-1}(q^{-2} + 1). \quad (30)$$

Note that $\beta^0(l_0, l_0)_+ > 0$ and $\beta^0(l_0, l_0)_- < 0$ for $l_0 > 0$. Now let $l_0 = 0$. Then $h(\cdot) = \langle v_0^0, v_0^0 \rangle$ is the unique $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ -invariant state on $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$. Hence $\beta^0(0, 0) = \langle x_0 v_0^0, v_0^0 \rangle = h(x_0) = 0$. That is, formulas (29) are also valid for $l_0 = 0$.

Next we determine the coefficient $\beta^0(l_0+n, l_0+n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the fact that $\|x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}\|^{-1} x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0} = v_{l_0+n}^{l_0+n}$ and the commutation relations of the generators of the algebras $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}\|^2 \beta^0(l_0+n, l_0+n) &= \langle x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}, x_0 x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0} \rangle \\
&= \langle x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}, (q^{2n} x_1^n x_0 + (1 - q^{2n}) x_1^n) v_{l_0}^{l_0} \rangle \\
&= \|x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}\|^2 (1 - q^{2n} + q^{2n} \beta^0(l_0, l_0)) + q^{2n} \beta^+(l_0, l_0) \langle x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}, x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0+1} \rangle. \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, applying the relation $x_1 v_{l_0}^{l_0} = \alpha^+(l_0, l_0) v_{l_0+1}^{l_0+1}$ and the commutation rules of the cross product algebra we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
[2l_0+2]^{1/2} \langle x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}, x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0+1} \rangle &= \langle x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}, x_1^n F v_{l_0+1}^{l_0+1} \rangle \\
&= \langle x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}, (q^n F x_1^n - q[2n][2]^{-1/2} x_0 x_1^{n-1} K - \lambda[n][n-1][2]^{-1/2} x_1^{n-1} K) v_{l_0+1}^{l_0+1} \rangle \\
&= (-[2n]q^{l_0+2} \beta^0(l_0+n, l_0+n) - \lambda q^{l_0+1} [n][n-1][2]^{-1/2} \alpha^+(l_0, l_0)^{-1} \|x_1^n v_{l_0}^{l_0}\|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this identity into (31) and using (23) with l replaced by l_0 we obtain after some further computations

$$\beta^0(l_0+n, l_0+n) = [2l_0+2n+2]^{-1} ([2l_0+2]\beta^0(l_0, l_0) + (q^{-2} - 1)[n][2l_0+n+1]).$$

By (29) and (30), this equation can be written as

$$\beta^0(l, l)_\pm = [2l+2]^{-1} ([2l_0](q^{-2}\lambda_\pm - \lambda_\mp) - (1 - q^{-2})[l-l_0][l+l_0+1]) \quad (32)$$

and

$$\beta^0(l, l)_\pm = [2l+2]^{-1} (\pm q^{-1}[2][2l_0] - (1 - q^{-2})[l-l_0][l+l_0+1]) \quad (33)$$

for $c < \infty$ and $c = \infty$, respectively.

Finally, we determine $\alpha^+(l, l)$. Note that (28) remains valid if l_0 is replaced by an arbitrary $l \geq l_0$. For $c < \infty$, Equation (28) is equivalent to

$$\alpha^+(l, l)^2 = [2][2l+2][2l+3]^{-1} (c + 1/4 - \{(1 + q^{-2})^{-1}(1 - \beta^0(l, l)) - 1/2\}^2).$$

Inserting $\beta^0(l, l)_\pm$ from (29) into this equation we obtain two solutions $\alpha^+(l, l)_\pm$ which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^+(l, l)_\pm = [2]^{1/2}[2l+3]^{-1/2}[2l+2]^{-1/2} & \left([2l+2]^2(c + 1/4) \right. \\ & \left. - \{-\lambda/2[l-l_0+1][l+l_0+1] \pm [2l_0](c + 1/4)^{1/2}\}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

By similar arguments one gets in the case $c = \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^+(l, l)_\pm = [2]^{1/2}[2l+3]^{-1/2}[2l+2]^{-1/2} & \left([2l+2]^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \{-\lambda[2]^{-1}[l-l_0+1][l+l_0+1] \pm [2l_0]\}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

By Equations (32)–(35), all coefficients $\alpha^\epsilon(l, j)$ and $\beta^\epsilon(l, j)$, $\epsilon = +, 0, -$, are known, so the corresponding representation of the cross product algebra is completely described by formulas (20)–(22). Thus we have shown that for $l_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ there exists at most two $*$ -representations of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ satisfying condition (*). For $l_0 = 0$ there is only one such representation. From the corresponding formulas it follows easily that these representations are irreducible.

It still remains to verify that formulas (20)–(21) define a representation of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. For $l_0 = 0$ this is clear, because then v_0^0 is a $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ -invariant

vector and hence we have the Heisenberg representation. For $l_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ this can be done by showing that the defining relations of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ and the cross relations of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ are satisfied. We have checked this. We omit the details of these lengthy and tedious computations. In a forthcoming paper we will construct for any $l_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ two inequivalent $*$ -representations of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ for which $(*)$ holds. By the uniqueness proof given above, these representations must be given by formulas (20)–(21), so these formulas define indeed a $*$ -representation.

Let us summarize the outcome of the preceding considerations:

For any $l_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ there exist two $*$ -representations and for $l_0 = 0$ there exists one $*$ -representation of the cross product $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ such that the restrictions to the $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is the representation $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{l_0+n}$.

These representations are irreducible. They are labeled by the lower indices $+$ and $-$ of the coefficients $\alpha^+(l, l)$ and $\beta^0(l, l)$ given by (34) and (32) (resp. (35) and (33)). The underlying Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis $\{v_j^l; j = -l, \dots, l, l \in \mathbb{N}_0, l \geq l_0\}$. The generators E, F, K of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ act on this basis by (19). The action of the generators x_1, x_0, x_{-1} of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2)$ is given by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 v_j^l &= q^{-l+j} [l+j+1]^{1/2} [l+j+2]^{1/2} [2l+1]^{-1/2} [2l+2]^{-1/2} \alpha^+(l, l)_{\pm} v_{j+1}^{l+1} \\ &\quad - q^{j+2} [l-j]^{1/2} [l+j+1]^{1/2} [2]^{1/2} [2l]^{-1} \beta^0(l, l)_{\pm} v_{j+1}^l \\ &\quad - q^{l+j+1} [l-j-1]^{1/2} [l-j]^{1/2} [2l-1]^{-1/2} [2l]^{-1/2} \alpha^+(l-1, l-1)_{\pm} v_{j+1}^{l-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 v_j^l &= q^j [l-j+1]^{1/2} [l+j+1]^{1/2} [2]^{1/2} [2l+1]^{-1/2} [2l+2]^{-1/2} \alpha^+(l, l)_{\pm} v_j^{l+1} \\ &\quad + (1 - q^{l+j+1} [l-j] [2] [2l]^{-1}) \beta^0(l, l)_{\pm} v_j^l \\ &\quad + q^j [l-j]^{1/2} [l+j]^{1/2} [2]^{1/2} [2l-1]^{-1/2} [2l]^{-1/2} \alpha^+(l-1, l-1)_{\pm} v_j^{l-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{-1} v_j^l &= q^{l+j} [l-j+1]^{1/2} [l-j+2]^{1/2} [2l+1]^{-1/2} [2l+2]^{-1/2} \alpha^+(l, l)_{\pm} v_{j-1}^{l+1} \\ &\quad + q^j [l-j+1]^{1/2} [l+j]^{1/2} [2]^{1/2} [2l]^{-1} \beta^0(l, l)_{\pm} v_{j-1}^l \\ &\quad - q^{-l+j-1} [l+j-1]^{1/2} [l+j]^{1/2} [2l-1]^{-1/2} [2l]^{-1/2} \alpha^+(l-1, l-1)_{\pm} v_{j-1}^{l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $l_0 > 0$ the two representations are not unitarily equivalent. For $l_0 = 0$ they coincide with the Heisenberg representations of $\mathcal{O}(S_{qc}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$.

References

- [DS] Dabrowski, L. and A. Sitarz, *Dirac operator on the standard Podles quantum sphere*. math.QA/0209048.
- [F] Fiore, G., *On the decoupling of the homogeneous and inhomogeneous parts in inhomogeneous quantum groups*. J. Phys. A **35** (2002), 657–678.
- [KS] Klimyk, K. A. and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*. Springer, Heidelberg, 1997.
- [KR] Kulish, P. P. and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Quantum linear problem for the sine-Gordon equation and higher representations*. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI **101** (1981), 101–110.
- [LR] Lunts, V. A. and A. L. Rosenberg, *Differential operators on noncommutative rings*. Sel. math. **3** (1997), 335–359.
- [P] Podles, P., *Quantum spheres*. Lett. Math. Phys. **14** (1987), 193–202.
- [S] Schmüdgen, K., *Commutator representations of differential calculi on the quantum groups $SU_q(2)$* . J. Geom. Phys., **31** (1999), 241–264.
- [SW] Schmüdgen, K. and E. Wagner, *Hilbert space representations of cross product algebras*. math.QA/0105185, to appear in J. Funct. Anal.
- [SF] Sz.-Nagy, B. and C. Foias, *Analyse harmonique des operateurs de l'espace de Hilbert*. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1979.